

Lockington, Elliott (SPAC/PSPC)

From: Gerasimov, Boyan (SPAC/PSPC)
Sent: June 25, 2020 6:07 PM
To: Church, Leslie (SPAC/PSPC)
Cc: Roy, Cecely (SPAC/PSPC); fitz-morris, James (SPAC/PSPC); Lockington, Elliott (SPAC/PSPC); Laycock, Tristan (SPAC/PSPC); Mullan-Boudreau, Caitlin (SPAC/PSPC); Cardinal, Cheryl (SPAC/PSPC)
Subject: Re: FOR MO APPROVAL: COVID-19 web page June 25 update

Just noting that the Sanical masks would need to be inventoried as a matter of priority if we want to include them in the chart.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 25, 2020, at 6:02 PM, Church, Leslie (SPAC/PSPC) <leslie.church@canada.ca> wrote:

My preference would be to hold them back until we are talking about the reserve. At that point, I think we overhaul the chart altogether and maybe we break out KN95s as their own category?

Because I don't see us reporting on the level of goods specifically within the reserve... I look at that like the NESS, where we have a strategic stockpile.

From: Roy, Cecely (SPAC/PSPC)
Sent: June 25, 2020 5:49 PM
To: fitz-morris, James (SPAC/PSPC) <james.fitz-morris@canada.ca>
Cc: Church, Leslie (SPAC/PSPC) <leslie.church@canada.ca>; Gerasimov, Boyan (SPAC/PSPC) <boyan.gerasimov@canada.ca>; Lockington, Elliott (SPAC/PSPC) <elliott.lockington@canada.ca>; Laycock, Tristan (SPAC/PSPC) <tristan.laycock@canada.ca>; Mullan-Boudreau, Caitlin (SPAC/PSPC) <caitlin.mullan-boudreau@canada.ca>; Cardinal, Cheryl (SPAC/PSPC) <cheryl.cardinal@canada.ca>
Subject: Re: FOR MO APPROVAL: COVID-19 web page June 25 update

Would we hold back the KN95s for when we are able to provide reporting on goods intended for the ESCR as we are for items like cloth masks, or continue to include them in the N95 category?

Cecely Roy
343-549-7293

On Jun 25, 2020, at 5:46 PM, fitz-morris, James (SPAC/PSPC) <james.fitz-morris@canada.ca> wrote:

Crazy enough it might just work...
If journos ask where it is - we can say that SJB Day delayed some reporting - so we are holding to early next week.
Which also has the benefit of being mostly true!

On Jun 25, 2020, at 5:42 PM, Church, Leslie (SPAC/PSPC)
<leslie.church@canada.ca> wrote:

There are Saintek and Sanical N95s on flights as we speak and through the weekend. What if we were to hold the chart until Tuesday & release it with the Min's next update? (And hope for a few more N95s in the mean time)

From: fitz-morris, James (SPAC/PSPC)
Sent: June 25, 2020 5:40 PM
To: Roy, Cecely (SPAC/PSPC) <cecely.roy@canada.ca>
Cc: Gerasimov, Boyan (SPAC/PSPC) <boyan.gerasimov@canada.ca>; Church, Leslie (SPAC/PSPC) <leslie.church@canada.ca>; Lockington, Elliott (SPAC/PSPC) <elliott.lockington@canada.ca>; Laycock, Tristan (SPAC/PSPC) <tristan.laycock@canada.ca>; Mullan-Boudreau, Caitlin (SPAC/PSPC) <caitlin.mullan-boudreau@canada.ca>; Cardinal, Cheryl (SPAC/PSPC) <cheryl.cardinal@canada.ca>
Subject: Re: FOR MO APPROVAL: COVID-19 web page June 25 update

Turns out that about 10M of the 10.2M are KN95s....
Although, they did pass initial medical-grade testing and are now undergoing the secondary testing.

Program is suggesting a change to the paragraph because of the user-acceptance issue means that - basically - of 23M "N95s" we have, only about 3M are a likely to end up in the health stream.

On Jun 25, 2020, at 4:54 PM, Roy, Cecely
(SPAC/PSPC) <cecely.roy@canada.ca> wrote:

We'd have to subtract all of the 9.8M masks that didn't meet testing if we remove the asterisk, which we could do..

Cecely Roy
343-549-7293

On Jun 25, 2020, at 4:52 PM,
Gerasimov, Boyan (SPAC/PSPC)
<boyan.gerasimov@canada.ca>
wrote:

I suspect, the vast majority. But I'm concerned that the statement the department is proposing will just make it seem like we have more faulty masks that we are sending elsewhere (which is not the case). What do we lose if we don't have the asterisk?

Boyan Gerasimov
Director of Policy | Directeur des politiques
Office of the Minister of Public Services and Procurement | Cabinet de la ministre des Services publics et Approvisionnement Canada

From: Church, Leslie (SPAC/PSPC)
Sent: June 25, 2020 4:49 PM
To: Lockington, Elliott (SPAC/PSPC) <elliott.lockington@canada.ca>; fitz-morris, James (SPAC/PSPC) <james.fitz-morris@canada.ca>; Gerasimov, Boyan (SPAC/PSPC) <boyan.gerasimov@canada.ca>; Roy, Cecely (SPAC/PSPC) <cecely.roy@canada.ca>; Laycock, Tristan (SPAC/PSPC) <tristan.laycock@canada.ca>; Mullan-Boudreau, Caitlin (SPAC/PSPC) <caitlin.mullan-boudreau@canada.ca>; Cardinal, Cheryl (SPAC/PSPC) <cheryl.cardinal@canada.ca>
Subject: RE: FOR MO APPROVAL: COVID-19 web page June 25 update

On the trilat where this is likely to come up... my question is what is the N95 increase without the portion dedicated to the reserve?

From: Lockington, Elliott (SPAC/PSPC)
Sent: June 25, 2020 3:39 PM
To: fitz-morris, James (SPAC/PSPC) <james.fitz-morris@canada.ca>; Church, Leslie (SPAC/PSPC) <leslie.church@canada.ca>; Gerasimov, Boyan (SPAC/PSPC)

<boyan.gerasimov@canada.ca>; Roy,
Cecely (SPAC/PSPC)
<cecely.roy@canada.ca>; Laycock,
Tristan (SPAC/PSPC)
<tristan.laycock@canada.ca>; Mullan-
Boudreau, Caitlin (SPAC/PSPC)
<caitlin.mullan-boudreau@canada.ca>;
Cardinal, Cheryl (SPAC/PSPC)
<cheryl.cardinal@canada.ca>
Subject: RE: FOR MO APPROVAL:
COVID-19 web page June 25 update

No critics update! ☺

From: fitz-morris, James (SPAC/PSPC)
Sent: June 25, 2020 3:39 PM
To: Church, Leslie (SPAC/PSPC)
<leslie.church@canada.ca>; Gerasimov,
Boyan (SPAC/PSPC)
<boyan.gerasimov@canada.ca>;
Lockington, Elliott (SPAC/PSPC)
<elliott.lockington@canada.ca>; Roy,
Cecely (SPAC/PSPC)
<cecely.roy@canada.ca>; Laycock,
Tristan (SPAC/PSPC)
<tristan.laycock@canada.ca>; Mullan-
Boudreau, Caitlin (SPAC/PSPC)
<caitlin.mullan-boudreau@canada.ca>;
Cardinal, Cheryl (SPAC/PSPC)
<cheryl.cardinal@canada.ca>
Subject: Fwd: FOR MO APPROVAL:
COVID-19 web page June 25 update

Hot of the presses... I think we
should have a quick discussion
about this before sending to the Min.

Biggest change is that we have
received 10.2M additional
N95/KN95s.

So the Dept wants to change the note
below the chart to this:

***A portion of these masks are
being directed to
organizations outside the
health system based on
requirements and
preferences of front-line
health care providers.**

This is what the current footnote says:

*This number includes an order of 10.9 million KN95 respirators from a single supplier, roughly 9.8 million of which have not met Canada's standards for this mask type. To date, close to 2 million of these respirators have been redirected to other organizations outside the health system, and we expect to deploy more in the near future. We have suspended shipments of KN95 respirators from this supplier. None of these masks that failed our standards were distributed by PHAC for medical use.

I'm inclined to say that we should NOT change the note and leave the language as it currently appears on the page for at least this week (and maybe until we are ready to relaunch the chart with the PSPC-only data). My main concern is that we can't yet talk about those "outside organizations" with any clarity yet - so why draw attention by changing language we have had up for almost a month?

I'm also concerned about this fits in with our legal position re: that company....

Thoughts on that?

In other news, here are the changes in the chart this week vs last:

**Face
Shields: + 166,829 received**

Includes deliveries
from Toronto Stamp and HP,
among others

Gloves: + 9.1 million received

- ☐ *Information on deliveries not provided*

Gowns: + 302 524 received

- ☐ Includes deliveries
from Calko Group, George Courey and Stanfields

N95 respirators: + 20 million ordered, + 10,2 million received

- ☐ Includes deliveries
from 3M and Acklands Grainger, among others

Surgical

Masks: + 7 million received

- ☐ Includes deliveries
from Medicom and Primed

Begin forwarded message:

From: Liaison
<Liaison@tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca>
Date: June 25, 2020
at 3:20:06 PM EDT
To: "Mullan-Boudreau, Caitlin (SPAC/PSPC)"
<caitlin.mullan-boudreau@canada.ca>
>, "Roy, Cecely (SPAC/PSPC)"
<cecely.roy@canada.ca>, "fitz-morris, James (SPAC/PSPC)"

<james.fitz-morris@canada.ca>,
"Laycock, Tristan
(SPAC/PSPC)"
<tristan.laycock@canada.ca>, "Lord,
David (Ext.)"
<david.lord@tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca>
Cc: Liaison
<Liaison@tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca>, Bryan
Blom
<Bryan.Blom@tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca>,
Elizabeth Lindsay
<Elizabeth.Lindsay@tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca>,
Me'Shel Gulliver
Bélanger
<MeShel.GulliverBelanger@tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca>, RCN
Groupe Des
Approbations - NCR
Approvals Unit
<RCNGroupeDesApprobations.NCRApprovalsUnit@tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca>,
Emmanuelle Gallays
<Emmanuelle.Gallays@tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca>
**Subject: FOR MO
APPROVAL:
COVID-19 web
page June 25 update**

Good afternoon,

Please find
attached for your
approval, the
**COVID-19 web
page June 25
update.**

Following DM's
approval, it was

requested that we flag the following sentence to make sure you are comfortable with it. DM suggested having a quick discussion if required: *A portion of these masks are being directed to organizations outside the health system based on requirements and preferences of front-line health care providers.

As discussed, we are looking into sea shipments of hand sanitizer that arrived recently. We may send a revised version with the final number.

PRODUCT FOR APPROVAL

Web update document (new information highlighted)

FOR REFERENCE

A summary of changes in the web table:

For reference, last week's update document:

TIMELINE: As soon as possible

CONTEXT: This week's update of the *Supplying the Canadian*

healthcare sector in response to COVID-19 web page includes updated quantities ordered and received values for PPE supplies. One new company SpiritRx is also being added to the 'Working with Canadian companies' section.

Thank you,

Marilyne

Équipe de la Liaison
et des événements
ministériels
| Ministerial Liaison
and Events Team
Direction générale
des politiques, de la
planification et des
communications |
Policy, Planning and
Communications
Branch
Services publics et
Approvisionnement
Canada | Public
Services and
Procurement Canada
Gouvernement du
Canada |
Government of
Canada
Cell: 819-661-5893 –
LIAISON | Cell: 343-
998-5449